

M3S3/M4S3 STATISTICAL THEORY II

THE DE FINETTI 0-1 REPRESENTATION THEOREM

Definition : Exchangeability

A *finite* sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is (*finitely*) *exchangeable* with (joint) probability measure P , if, for any permutation π of indices

$$P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = P(X_{\pi(1)}, X_{\pi(2)}, \dots, X_{\pi(n)})$$

For example, the random variables (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) are exchangeable if

$$P(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = P(X_2, X_4, X_1, X_3) = P(X_1, X_3, X_2, X_4) = \dots$$

An *infinite* sequence, X_1, X_2, \dots , is *infinitely exchangeable* if any finite subset of the sequence is finitely exchangeable.

Theorem 3.1 (The De Finetti 0-1 Representation Theorem)

If X_1, X_2, \dots is an infinitely exchangeable sequence of 0-1 variables with probability measure P , then there exists a distribution function Q such that the joint mass function of (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) has the form

$$p(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \int_0^1 \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{X_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-X_i} \right\} dQ(\theta)$$

where

$$Q(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\frac{Y_n}{n} \leq t \right]$$

and $Y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, and

$$\theta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n/n \quad \because \quad Y_n/n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \theta$$

is the (strong-law) limiting relative frequency of 1s.

PROOF By exchangeability, for $0 \leq y_n \leq n$

$$P[Y_n = y_n] = \binom{n}{y_n} p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \binom{n}{y_n} p(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) \quad (1)$$

where $X_i = x_i$ and

$$y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

and $\pi(\cdot)$ is any permutation of the indices. For finite N , let $N \geq n \geq y_n \geq 0$. Then, by exchangeability

$$P[Y_n = y_n] = \sum P[Y_n = y_n | Y_N = y_N] P[Y_N = y_N] \quad (2)$$

where the summation extends over $(y_n, \dots, N - (n - y_n))$. Now the conditional probability for Y_n , given $Y_N = y_N$, denoted $P[Y_n = y_n | Y_N = y_N]$, is a **hypergeometric** mass function

$$P[Y_n = y_n | Y_N = y_N] = \frac{\binom{y_N}{y_n} \binom{N - y_N}{n - y_n}}{\binom{N}{n}} \quad 0 \leq y_n \leq n.$$

Rewriting the binomial coefficients, we have

$$P[Y_n = y_n] = \binom{n}{y_n} \sum \frac{\binom{y_N}{y_n} \binom{N - y_N}{n - y_n}}{\binom{N}{n}} P[Y_N = y_N] \quad (3)$$

where

$$(x)_r = x(x-1)(x-2)\dots(x-r+1).$$

Define function $Q_N(\theta)$ on \mathbb{R} as the step function which is zero for $\theta < 0$, and has steps of size $P[Y_N = y_N]$ at $\theta = y_N/N$ for $y_N = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$. Hence, utilizing the Lebesgue integral notation, we can re-write

$$P[Y_n = y_n] = \binom{n}{y_n} \int_0^1 \frac{(\theta N)_{y_n} ((1-\theta)N)_{n-y_n}}{(N)_n} dQ_N(\theta). \quad (4)$$

This result holds for any finite N , but in equation (2) we need to consider $N \rightarrow \infty$. In the limit,

$$\frac{(\theta N)_{y_n} ((1-\theta)N)_{n-y_n}}{(N)_n} \rightarrow \theta^{y_n} (1-\theta)^{n-y_n} = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{1-x_i}$$

as $(x)_r \rightarrow x^r$ if $x \rightarrow \infty$ with r fixed. Now, the function $Q_N(t)$ is a step function, starting at zero and ending at one, with N steps of varying sizes at particular values of t . Now, there exists a result (*the Helly Theorem*) proving that the sequence $\{Q_N(\theta); N = 1, 2, \dots\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{Q_{N_j}(\theta)\}$ such that, for some distribution function Q ,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Q_{N_j}(\theta) = Q(\theta)$$

Thus the result follows comparing equation (1) and the limiting form of equation (4) as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary : Posterior Predictive Distributions For $1 \leq m \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} p(X_{m+1}, X_{m+2}, \dots, X_n | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) &= \frac{p(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)}{p(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)} \\ &= \int_0^1 \left\{ \prod_{i=m+1}^n \theta^{X_i} (1-\theta)^{1-X_i} \right\} dQ(\theta | X_1, \dots, X_m) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where, if

$$Q(\theta) = \int_0^\theta dQ(t)$$

we have

$$dQ(\theta | X_1, \dots, X_m) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \theta^{X_i} (1-\theta)^{1-X_i} dQ(\theta)}{\int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^m \theta^{X_i} (1-\theta)^{1-X_i} dQ(\theta)}$$

as the updated ‘‘prior’’ measure. Hence, if $Y_{n-m} = \sum_{i=m+1}^n X_i$, we have from equation (5)

$$p(Y_{n-m} | X_1, \dots, X_m) = \int_0^1 \binom{n-m}{y_{n-m}} \theta^{Y_{n-m}} (1-\theta)^{(n-m)-Y_{n-m}} dQ(\theta | X_1, \dots, X_m)$$

which identifies $Q(\theta | X_1, \dots, X_m)$ as the *limiting posterior predictive distribution* as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with m fixed, as from equation (5) and the representation theorem itself, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\frac{Y_{n-m}}{n-m} \leq \theta \right] = Q(\theta | X_1, \dots, X_m).$$

Interpretation: The De Finetti Representation

$$p(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \int_0^1 \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{X_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-X_i} \right\} dQ(\theta)$$

can be interpreted in the following way;

- The joint distribution of the observable quantities X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n can be represented via a conditional/marginal decomposition.

– The conditional distribution is

$$\left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{X_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-X_i} \right\}$$

formed **as if it were a likelihood** for data X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n **conditional** on a quantity θ .

- The marginal distribution is determined by the probability measure $Q(\theta)$, which may admit a density (wrt Lebesgue measure) p_θ , and leave the representation as

$$p(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \int_0^1 \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{X_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-X_i} \right\} p_\theta(\theta) d\theta$$

- θ is a quantity **defined by**

$$Y_n/n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta$$

that is, a strong law limit of observable quantities.

- Q defines a probability measure for θ which we may term the **prior** probability measure.
- In the corollary,

$$dQ(\theta|X_1, \dots, X_m) = p_{\theta|X_1, \dots, X_m}(\theta|X_1, \dots, X_m) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \theta^{X_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-X_i} dQ(\theta)}{\int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^m \theta^{X_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-X_i} dQ(\theta)}$$

defines the **updated** prior formed in light of the data X_1, \dots, X_m ; this is the **posterior** distribution for θ .

Thus, from a very simple and natural assumption (exchangeability) about observable random quantities, we have a theoretical justification for using Bayesian methods, and a natural interpretation of parameters as limiting quantities. The theorem can be extended from the simple 0-1 case to very general situations

Theorem 3.2 The De Finetti General Representation Theorem

If X_1, X_2, \dots is an infinitely exchangeable sequence of variables with probability measure P , then there exists a distribution function Q on \mathcal{F} , the set of all distribution functions on \mathbb{R} , such that the joint distribution of (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) has the form

$$p(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \prod_{i=1}^n F(X_i) dQ(F)$$

where F is an unknown/unobservable distribution function

$$Q(F) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(\widehat{F}_n)$$

is a probability measure on the space of functions \mathcal{F} , defined as a limiting measure as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on the **empirical distribution function** \widehat{F}_n .